ASSESSMENT GRID

APPLICATION FOR THE TITLE "INTERREG V-A SI-HU BEST PROJECT"

Identification					
Project ID					
Project title					
Project acronym					
Name of Lead Partner					
Name of Lead Partner contact					
Email of Lead Partner contact					
Phone of Lead Partner contact					
Date of the decision of the Partnership to submit the					
Interreg V-A SI-HU Best Project application					
Information and Data of the Project (Information from the	eMS)			not used, please <u>delete</u> umn "Difference in %" t	
Name of the Output		Measurement unit	Planned in AF	Actual	Difference in %
					#ZÉRÓOSZTÓ!
	Total	1	<u>I</u>	1	#ZÉRÓOSZTÓ!
	Total				#ZENOCSZTO:
Financial Management of the Broject (Information from th	0.0MC)				
Financial Management of the Project (Information from the Number of the Reporting Period	ie eivisj	Reported costs	Deducted	Approved costs	% of deduction
Davia dO4			amount		uzépá oczzál
Period01				- €	
Period02				- €	
Period03				- €	
Period04				- €	
Period05				- €	#ZÉRÓOSZTÓ!
Total		- €	- €	- €	#ZÉRÓOSZTÓ!
How do you evaluate the quality of reporting? Please prov	vide your thought	ts based on the guid	ling aspects in th	e Call for Applicat	ion.
Evaluation of the quality of reporting within the project (please provide one score)		Extraordinary (3) Excellent (2) Good (1) Other (0)			
Irregularities	Yes, more than once => -2		Yes, once => 0	No => 1	
What were the innovative solutions (including any tools) in the answer of the Applicant.	<u> </u>			•	s and evaluate
Evaluation of innovative solution(s) within the project		Extraordinary (3)			
(please provide one score)		Excellent (2) Good (1) Other (0)			

How do you evaluate the level of the communication Applicant.	activities of the projec	t? Please provide y	our thoughts and	d evaluate the answer of the
Evaluation of the communication activities within t	ha	Eutropedinon (2)		<u> </u>
project (please provide one score)	ne	Extraordinary (3) Excellent (2)		
project (picase provide one score)		Good (1)		
		Other (0)		
				
How do you evaluate the cooperation of the partners evaluate the answer of the Applicant.	? Please provide your	thoughts using the	guiding aspects i	in the Call for Applications and
evaluate the answer of the Applicant.				
Find the state of the second state of the seco		F. day (2)		<u> </u>
Evaluation of the cooperation of the project partne (please provide one score)	ers	Extraordinary (3) Excellent (2)		
(piedse provide one score)		Good (1)		
		Other (0)		
Which deliverables/outputs of the project are of inter	rest to other narties? F	Please count the fin	ures provided by	the Annlicant
	·	rease count the jig	ares provided by	
Delivera	ıble / Output			Number of interested parties
Total:			0	0
Invitations to present of the project in and outside th	e proaramme area – P	lease count the fia	ures provided by	the Applicant.
SI-HU Programme Area	er of Places			Number of Participants
Outside the SI-HU Programme Area				
Altogether			0	0
Best Practice Evaluation	1	Mainh		Dainta respired
Aspect Overperforming of deliverables/outputs	X > 20% => 4	<i>Weight</i> 0% <x<20% ==""> 1</x<20%>	X= 0% => 0	Points received
Financial management	X < 5% => 4	5% <x<10% ==""> 2</x<10%>	X>10% => 0	
Irregularities	7,13,011	Simple (= X*1)	7.0 1070 1 0	0
Quality of reporting		Simple (= X*1)		0
Innovativeness		Double (= X*2)		0
Communication		Triple (= X*3)		0
Cooperation of partners		Simple (= X*1)		0
Interest to deliverables/outputs Number of invitations to present the project	X > 5 pcs => 2 X > 4 pcs => 4	1 <x<4 pcs=""> 1 1<x<4 pcs=""> 1</x<4></x<4>	X = 0 pcs => 0 X = 0 pcs => 0	
	•	1<×<4 pcs => 1	X = 0 μcs => 0	
TOTAL Applications receiving 18 points will be awarded				0
Applications receiving 10 points will be awaited				
Why do you consider the project a Best Project? Plea	se provide your argum	ents.		
, ,	3.			
Done at on th , 20				
,				
			Signature of t	ne Evaluator